



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/883,851  | 06/18/2001  | Bogdan C. Maglich    | HIENER.1CPC1CP      | 9955             |
| 20995   | 7590        | 01/14/2004           | EXAMINER            |                  |
| KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP<br>2040 MAIN STREET<br>FOURTEENTH FLOOR<br>IRVINE, CA 92614 |             |                      | CARONE, MICHAEL J   |                  |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 3641                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 01/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                          |                                      |                                |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | Application No.<br><b>09/883,851</b> | Applicant(s)<br><b>Maglich</b> |
|                          | Examiner<br><b>Jack Keith</b>        | Art Unit<br><b>3641</b>        |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jack Keith, PTO

(3) Dr. Mu Young Lee, App. Rep.

(2) Bruce Itchkawitz, App. Rep.

(4)

Date of Interview Jan 12, 2004

Type: a) Telephonic      b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes      e) No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1-17

Identification of prior art discussed:

Sawa

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:


Discussed outstanding 103 rejection of claims in case 09/788736 and 09/883851. 09/788736 discussed proposed amendments and new art to overcome 103 rejection. 09/883851 examiner to allow figure 18 as an elected embodiment. 09/883851 discussed new art to be provided in IDS.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
1/67  
Examiner's signature, if required